

SSE/EFI Working Paper Series in Business Administration

No 2007:5

August 2007

Implications of transforming the Patient Record into a Knowledge Management System

Initiating a movement of coordination and enhancement

Carina Beckerman

Stockholm School of Economics, Management and Organization, Box 6501, 113 83 Stockholm.
Phone:46-8-7369458, mobile: 0768-815019, fax: 46-8-304762. E-mail: carina.beckerman@hhs.se

Abstract

Today there is often a need to re-innovate who you are and what you do and re-think the tools that are used and the business models that guide action. The purpose of this paper is to show how transforming a document, such as a patient record, might start a horizontal and vertical movement, a movement of coordination and enhancement in an organizational setting, such as a hospital clinic. The observations presented here and the conclusions drawn were obtained during a three year case study following implications of constructing and computerizing a patient record at three different hospitals. The results were then analyzed, interpreted and discussed within a framework combining theories about knowledge management and with cognitive theories about use of interpretative schemes and representations. This paper tries to look beyond the implications of reconstructing a patient record on a micro-level or explore if it is good or bad to computerize it. Instead this paper theorizes about how re-thinking the interpretative scheme for what a patient record is and how it may be used might restructure a health care setting. It proposes that what the employees want to achieve with the knowledge management system depends on what strategy they have for it.

Keywords: interpretative schemes, anesthesia patient record, knowledge management system, knowledge management, structuration theory.

Aiming for quality - transforming processes and tools

Health care employees often keep working in the same way, in the same type of organizations using the same tools they have been socialized into how to use. As an example, different specialists use the patient record differently. But it is always considered a place in which to record different types of information about the patient. One can express it like this: among health care employees exists a certain interpretative scheme for what a patient record is and how to use it. Efforts to computerize the patient record have been going on since the 60ies. It has been a difficult journey according to most people involved and by some considered a “mission” or “a crusade” into cleaning up information giving within health care (Kay and Purves, 1998). Making needed information accessible all the time has been one of the goals. Because having the right kind of information available influences how health care employees exercise their knowledge. It influences diagnosing, decision-making and action.

Today there is often a need to re-innovate who you are and what you do and re-think the tools that are used and the business models that guide action. The aim of this paper is to show an example of this. The ambition of upgrading the tools we use at work is in line with the ambition in what is often called the knowledge society (Lane, 1966, Bell, 1974, Böhme, Stehr, 1986, Drucker, 1993, OECD, 1996). OECD has used the expression “the knowledge-based economies” instead of “the knowledge society” and characterized them as “those which are directly based on the production, distribution and use of knowledge and information”. One important difference between “the information society” and “the knowledge society” is that the first one is characterized by low-cost information and a general use of information and communication technology while the key factor in the second is mainly investments in people, utilizing new information and communication technology. This paper views the knowledge society as a place in which there is a continuous structuring and re-structuring, learning and re-learning and construction and re-construction of phenomenon such as knowledge going on. This transforms established work processes and influences the mental schemas and resources a knowledge worker uses when exercising his or her knowledge.

Although this paper reports some of the implications of reconstructing a patient record on a micro-level that has not been the main purpose behind conducting this study. Also the purpose has not been to discuss if computerizing a patient record is good or bad. Instead the aim has been to create an awareness of what is possible to achieve when transforming the idea behind what a tool is and how it is used. This paper directs itself towards people that are involved in different types of change-projects trying to re-think how we manage our everyday work-life both in health care, but also in other areas of society.

Interpretative schemes structures an organizational setting

All human beings live in a world of objects. This world is socially constructed in that the meanings given to these objects are fabricated or made-up during a process of social interaction. Blumer (1969/1998) writes that in a human group the life and actions of people involved change with the changes taking place in their world of objects.

In general sociology is macro (concerned with societies), or micro (concerned with the social relationships of individuals). Giddens has much to say about both, but little directly to say about organizations or groups of people which normally are the unit of analysis for a researcher of management, organization or institution. When Giddens does mention them he

tends to do so in a way which implies that they fall within the scope of his theory without special conditions.

“Organizations.....are collectivities in which the reflexive regulation of the conditions of system reproduction looms large in the continuity of day to day practices.” They depend on ‘the collation of information which can be controlled so as to influence the circumstances of social reproduction’ . (Giddens, 1984)

Instead of using the word organizations Giddens uses the word systems and they are conceptualized as "patterns of relations in groupings of all kinds, from small, intimate groups, to social networks, to large organizations". That is, it is the patterns of enacted conduct, the repeated forms of social action and interaction, or the "enduring cycles of reproduced relations" that form social systems.

So, human group life takes place in organizations and/or systems. In organizations or systems personal knowledge can be transmitted because a set of values are learned, permitting a shared language by which to communicate (Berger and Luckmann, 1966). This language provides a normative sanction for how activities are to be organized or what information to be collected and evaluated. Social integration then refers to face-to-face reciprocities between agents who meet in circumstances of co-presence, and therefore preserves a concern for *praxis in situ*, and system integration refers to reciprocities between absent agents, i.e. agents who are physically and/or temporally situated in different settings, which admits the possibility of inter-situational articulations of systemic patterns (Giddens, 1984).

Interpretative schemes

This research focuses on how interpretative schemes might influence the structuring of an organizational setting and how communication and interactions, or human group life, between employees concerned take place (Giddens, 1984, 1979). By interpretative schemes Giddens means standardized elements of stocks of knowledge applied by actors in the production of interaction. Interpretative schemes can also be defined as mental schemes developed in a specific organizational setting (Fiske and Taylor, 1991). They are cognitive structures that represent one’s knowledge about a given concept or stimulus including its attributes and the relations among those attributes. An interpretative scheme influences the encoding of new information, memory of old information and inferences about missing information. It is a way of organizing information about the world relevant to a particular task and can be described as a filtering mechanism. It facilitates top-down, conceptually driven, or theory-driven processes which means processes that are influenced by prior knowledge. Individual cognitive schemes can combine to form an overall interpretative scheme mapping relevant aspects of how an organizations experience of the world is to be understood (Ranson et al 1980), and assumptions about why events happens as they do and how people are to act (Bartunek 1984).

Interpretative schemes are difficult to change. People often ignore exceptions to the scheme, they even interpret the exception as proving the scheme. Many of the information-processing advantages of schemes would also be lost if they changed at each encounter with slightly discrepant information. But having an incorrect scheme is also costly since it can make people insufficient problem solvers. The wrong interpretative scheme can lead one to be inaccurate, biasing encoding, memory, and inference. But still schemes are supposed to be cognitively more efficient than understanding each instance afresh (Fiske and Taylor, 1991). There are person schemes, self-schemes, role schemes and event schemes. Event schemes describe

appropriate sequences of events in well-known situations. People can also have “place schemes” for particular kinds of locations. Phenomenon such as categories and mental schemes allow us some sense of prediction and control, which is essential to our wellbeing. They save energy. And they make us believe that we understand the world. Stable interpretative schemes lend a sense of order, structure and coherence to social stimuli that otherwise might be too complex and overwhelming to make sense of.

The central problem in sense-making is how to reduce or resolve ambiguity, and how to develop shared meanings so that the organization can act collectively (Choo, 1998). As long as a person is making sense of his or her experiences it is possible to move ahead. But from time to time this movement is blocked by the perception of a cognitive gap. This is a situation that the person is unable to make sense of. It causes stress and uncertainty. To close such a gap a person starts searching for information to make new sense of a situation and thereby be able to continue doing what he or she is doing (Dervin, 1992). At the cognitive level, the individuals style and preferences impact on the processing of information. The person selects a source that has a high probability of providing information that will be relevant, usable and helpful. As an example, a physician often asks a trusted colleague if the needed information is not available. At the affective level people use information selectively, for example if they want to avoid conflict or embarrassment or support their own decisions. Personal motivation and interest in the problem also determines the amount of energy that the person invests in information seeking. At the situational level the selection and use of sources is influenced by the amount of time and effort that is required to locate or contact the source and to interact with the source to get the information needed (Dervin, 1992; Taylor, 1991; Wilson, 1997).

Thus, as human actors communicate, they draw on interpretative schemes to help make sense of interactions; at the same time those interactions reproduce and modify those interpretative schemes which are embedded in social structure as meaning or signification. Similarly the facility to allocate resources is enacted in the exercise of power, and it produces and reproduces social structures of domination, and finally moral codes (norms) help determine what can be sanctioned in human interactions, and in doing so these codes iteratively produce structures of legitimation (Giddens, 1984). Giddens treats regularized acts as situated practices. Any action that is repeated frequently by a knowledge worker in an organizational setting, like for example performing anesthesia work in the surgery, becomes cast into a pattern, which can be reproduced with an economy of effort. A social order is created. To create a social order around a way to work is an ongoing human production and a way to save energy. It is a way to establish a structure.

Knowledge workers use representations

Vision can be described as an intelligent process of active construction (Hoffman, 1998). It is a matter of perceiving sense data, synthesizing and then categorizing what people perceive. We “see” the time and remember with pictures (Schacter, 1996). This is because the ability of humans to think rests to a great extent on our ability to create a symbolic representation of the world, a picture of the world separate from the world itself. “What you see” has a phenomenal and a relational sense. In the phenomenal sense, what you see means “the way things look to you”, “the way they visually appear to you”, the way you visually experience them. But in the relational sense it mean “what you interact with when you look” (Hoffman, 1998). The visual system is believed to have two components, one concerned with pattern processing and detecting “what” while the other is concerned with location in space and conveys information about “where”. The picture or symbol is not the thing itself, it stands for or refers to the thing,

in this case the patient: It represents the patient. Another example of this is how an icon might represent a phenomenon such as heart-problems.

A human being uses sound, gestures or symbols that stand for or refer to objects, things and concepts in his or her working or private life. In other words they use representations. A representational system has two essential ingredients: (1) the represented world: that which is represented; (2) the representing world, a set of symbols, each standing for something in the represented world. It means that when we think we create a symbolic representation of the world that is a picture of the world that is separate from the world itself. Representations are important because they allow human beings to work with events and things absent in space and time, or events and things that never existed such as imaginary objects and concepts. Then representations that can be part of a workspace shared with others, require some sort of constructed device to support them: an artifact.

The ideal is to develop representations that capture the important, critical features of the represented world while ignoring the irrelevant. It is important that they are appropriate for the task, enhancing the ability to make judgments, and to discover relevant regularities and structures (Norman, 1993). Representations that match our perceptual capabilities are simpler and easier to use than those that require reflection. Under a heavy work load, stress, danger and time pressure representations that require reflection are not used as rapidly and efficiently as those that can be used through simple perceptual comparisons.

So, a knowledge worker is a person that uses interpretative schemes and representations when making sense of certain situations that craves a decision on how to act.

Computerizing a key document

One way to try to manage knowledge is to implement technology into a knowledge workers daily work life. As an example, we may try to influence how a knowledge worker exercises his or her knowledge through implementing an information management system or a knowledge management system. This is part of what is considered the practice of managing knowledge. Then the project researched is considered a typical knowledge management project in the knowledge society. It assumes that the ambition behind the activity of implementing a computerized patient record is to make it possible for a knowledge worker to perform more brilliantly. Implementing technology right into a knowledge workers daily life influences established patterns of action. A “technology” can be physical objects or artefacts, activities or processes, what people know or what they do; one example is the “know-how” that goes into operating a device in the operating room (Bijker, Hughes and Pinch, 2001). Tools like for example computerized patient records might confuse employees, alter social relations and manipulate with cognitive abilities. They might even change the ease of solving a problem (Norman, 1993). To computerize a key document is part of being modern, of reducing risk and trying to control the outcome of future events, or “colonizing the future” as Giddens (1991) has expressed it.

In this study an information system is a system that consists of at least one person, who faces a problem within some organizational context, for which he or she needs some information to arrive at a solution, and that information is made available to her through some mode of presentation (Boaden and Lockett, 1991). This mode of presentation is often some sort of information or communication technology. A knowledge management system goes one step further. It is an information system designed specifically to facilitate codification, collection, integration and dissemination of organizational knowledge (Alavi & Leidner, 1999). A typical

knowledge management system involves a database, a cataloguing system, version control, document access control, a user-friendly search and navigation capability and a possible variety of advanced features such as e-mail notification or commenting.

Earlier research about computerized anesthesia patient records show that a well-functioning information system or knowledge management system improves patient safety by facilitating correct drug administration (Merry et al, 2001), makes it possible to read what has been written in the record (Wang et al, 1995), more vital sign data, more notes and drug information per case is recorded (Edsall et al, 1993) and it provides data for quality assurance activities (Essin et al 1998). A computerized anesthesia patient record also frees eyes and hands and creates an opportunity for improvements and more accurate information about the patient (Edsall et al 1993). But Yamaguchi et al 2000 found that ergonomic problems remain to be solved before there is a wider acceptance of the computerized patient record in clinical practice.

Systematic reflection

This is an interpretative study and the purpose has been to explore implications of constructing and computerizing an anesthesia patient record at three different hospitals (Denzin, 1983). Data have been collected using interviews, observations and reading, following the construction of a new anesthesia patient record on paper at one hospital in Sweden, how a computerized anesthesia patient record was developed at one hospital in the USA and a computerized patient record implemented and used at one hospital in Vienna. What took place has then been described, analyzed and interpreted using the theoretical framework put forward in the section above. Case studies are suitable when insight is an objective as in this research and the phenomenon to be explored is difficult to quantify and must be studied within its natural setting. This research has taken place during a multi-step process of data-collection and analysis. During different phases I have alternated between interviewing and reading and reflecting over what I have found. The interviews were tape-recorded and the interview tapes transcribed. The interview transcripts, notes and documents were then read and re-read. Data-collection and data analysis overlapped and the findings on a micro-level were compared to earlier research.

Most time were spent at the Swedish site and all together 25 interviews were made with employees at various levels in the organization. At both the Austrian and the American site 9 interviews were made. In addition to this I participated in general meetings at the clinics, in meeting with the company that developed the software and observed work in the OR during 3 occasions.

Then I have searched for patterns in the empirical data that relate to the purpose of this study which is to answer how a patient record is constructed, why it is constructed as it is and implications of this. When searching for a pattern in the data systematic reflection has been used. Systematic reflection means to go back and reflect over every statement from the interviewees and every interpretation made and conclusion drawn during the research process. A picture of what took place finally emerged. And this picture is verbalized in this paper. The contribution presented here was not obvious from the beginning. First I focused on the implications of re-constructing the patient record and computerizing it on a micro-level. With micro-level is meant implications such as that the employees save time using the new system or that how the patient is evaluated is transformed. It took some reflection before I also realized the implications on a macro-level. Reflection is an often for granted taken part of the research process. Still a more systematic way to reflect is needed. Often it seems that too little

time is spent reflecting. Then there is a possibility that we report only the first obvious results from our research. Taking the time to reflect during several additional phases might produce different and more innovative results.

In the next section will the empirical site be described. But the cases will not be described in more detail since the findings presented in this paper are on a general level. It does not really matter where or what patient record that has been transformed since the implications on a micro-level are different depending on who participates in the change process and what patient record that is re-constructed or computerized.

Among the “Emergency-people”

Anesthesia work is performed before, during and after surgery, at the anesthesia reception desk and in the operating room. It can be described as a kind of service function, both to the surgeon and the patient. Work includes assessing the patient before surgery, making a plan for giving anesthesia during surgery, following this plan and then managing pain after the surgery.

The OR is a unique environment, with many people involved in work, using complex equipment in a limited space. Tasks are of high intensity, acquiring data is mission-critical and loss of data unacceptable. Patient care in this specialist domain is intense and requires a keen awareness of what is happening not only with the patient but also in the operating room. It is a stressful working environment. Management of the OR includes managing resources such as employees, information, drugs, blood, eyes and hands, equipment, supplies and time. Working in the OR includes giving anesthesia, diluting medications and documenting. The anesthesiologist uses information to assess the status of the patient, if the patient is alive or if some sort of action has to be taken. These activities are all performed differently by different anesthesiologists.

The encounters at the anesthesia reception desk become an act that is constructed by the anesthesiologist and the patient together in a face-to-face situation. It soon becomes a structured and routinized activity. An interpretative scheme for how to evaluate the patient has developed. It is a scheme that becomes part of the structure and it also helps to structure what takes place in this organizational setting. A physician formulates a diagnosis of what problem the patient might have that is based on data in the patient record, visual perceptions, earlier experience and verbal information from the patient. The anesthesiologist documents because of legal requirements but also to provide other health care workers with the knowledge of what was used to keep the patient asleep. An anesthesiologist must have generated a patient record at the end of the surgery. Therefore is constructing and implementing a computerized patient record considered as a difficult transition period and a “high-risk” situation, by the project leader at the American hospital. Some of the anesthesiologists also think that the art of the performance is at risk if the anesthesia patient record becomes computerized.

In both organizational settings a structuring takes place as actors draw on and make sense of the institutional patterns of signification, domination and legitimation that characterize this specialist domain in order to construct roles and interpret persons, objects (such as the patient record) and events (such as evaluating the patient) in their environment (Giddens, 1984).

In this context a younger anesthesiologist learns from an older one at the anesthesia reception desk and in the OR when discussing cases. Discussing cases is also done during many of the

meetings at the clinic. It is obvious that discussing, talking and debating cases embraces sense-making in a medical setting. It makes a group of colleagues agree on how to address a certain problem. At the same time an anesthetist constructs his identity, for example as “emergency-people”, in interaction with others. In addition to this, scientific and educational efforts are continuously integrated into the work since most of the physicians are involved in different types of research projects at the same time as they perform their daily work. New knowledge is created, in this organizational setting by managing conversations, mobilizing knowledge activities and globalizing local knowledge (von Krogh, Ichijo and Nonaka, 2000).

Transforming the Patient Record into a Knowledge Management System

The Swedish patient record on paper consists of three parts: pre-anesthesia evaluation, intra-anesthesia record and post-operative anesthesia care as well as pain management. At the hospital in the USA a computerized anesthesia patient record consists of a three-page software design called Monitor, Fluids and Medication. It includes pre-anesthesia evaluation, intra-anesthesia record, remote monitoring of cases, anesthesia staff assignment, scheduling accessibility, personal daily schedule care overview, quality assurance and data analysis report and automated charge services. At the Austrian hospital in Vienna they use two applications Chart Plus and Visual Care. Chart Plus registers data such as pulse and blood-pressure and Visual Care register therapies.

When answering the questions on how and why a patient record is constructed as it is and listing the implications of this a horizontal and/or vertical movement becomes visible. It can be translated into a movement of coordination and enhancement. The employees also talk about coordination and improved quality or enhancement when discussing the aim behind constructing and computerizing the patient record. This collected movement and the possibilities inherent in it, is what this paper wants to make the readers aware of. The implications on a micro-level are not in themselves so interesting since they vary depending on what kind of patient record it is that is constructed and what kind of changes that are made. In the following two sections this paper will give some examples of what is meant by coordination and enhancement.

A horizontal movement: Coordination

A movement of coordination becomes visible when information from several new units are added to the patient record on paper to improve its usefulness. At the Swedish hospital a description of how the patient enters the care of an anesthetist has been extended with emergency-alarm, trauma-alarm, helicopter /ambulance and information about the degree of trauma. The following options were already in place: primary care, secondary care and elective care. What kind of post-operative care that will take place after surgery is also included. A KMS might also be used for monitoring employees and their work schedules, as at the American hospital. This means that the KMS might contribute to social integration between agents that meet in circumstances of co-presence and also system integration between absent agents. This is agents who are physically and/or temporally situated in different settings. But this design admits the articulation of systemic patterns over many units. It also admits streamlining a work-process. This paper suggests that employees might have a well worked out strategy for what units inside and outside the clinic, and inside and outside the hospital, that they want to coordinate into a network expressed and made visible in a patient record transformed into a knowledge management system, instead of working in an ad hoc mode which is often the case today.

A vertical movement: Enhancement

Several different implications of upgrading and computerizing a patient record that can be perceived as a movement towards enhancing the work that is performed can be found in this research. As a first example, a computerized anesthesia patient record makes it possible with many more standard-therapies. Less modification of standard therapies decreases the workload for the physician. This is because fewer interventions are demanded when more standard-therapies are available in the software. “I want to have as few steps as possible when I work. Standard therapies exist today but 70-80 percent of them must be modified”. (Excerpt from interview with anesthesiologist in Austria.) Very simple figures that show the patient from the front and from the back are used in the transformed document. Using pictures both in a document on paper and a computerized one is a second example of enhancement since it eases communication and saves energy. It enhances the quality in the work that takes place. Using pictures instead of words influences the speed with which information is taken in and processed. Representations also allow human beings to work with events and things absent in space and time, imaginary objects and concepts. This knowledge was utilized in the patient record on paper at the Swedish hospital. It is also used in the computerized patient record in the hospital in Vienna.

When constructing a new patient record employees are forced to define work routines for one element of performing anesthesia, such as dilution of medication. In anesthesia there are many problems that might appear as one works. One of them has to do with giving the wrong medication. To produce routines for how to dilute medication is therefore seen as something good. This is consistent with Merry, et al, 2001, that found that a well-functioning system improves patient safety by facilitating correct drug administration.

When it comes to text in a document like a patient record, there is always a conscious choice about what and how much text to use. The project group searches for “**the optimal**” amount of information needed for improved performance at the anesthesia and intensive care unit. In this case employees prefer to get everything about the patient on one page in the software, or two pages that are easy to change between. I have also found that computerized patient records decrease **double and triple** documentation. An example of this is that in Sweden they recorded anesthetics, the substance used during surgery, at three different places before transforming the patient record. Now they record it at one place. A computerized patient record also makes it possible to read what has been written in the record, which is a change from when it is on paper. This is consistent with Wang, et al, 1995, who also found that a computerized anesthesia patient record was more legible and complete than a manual record. More vital sign data points and more notes and drug information per case is also recorded and this is in accordance with Edsall, et al 1993. With a computerized patient record information is always available and the text, if designed properly, is easier to read than in handwritten documents. It eliminates distractions, such as trying to make sense out of sloppy texts, and it makes some activities automatic, such as recording pulse and blood-pressure. All these improvements enhance the work process. Design plays an important part when it comes to constructing documents and information systems that enhances a work process. As an example different colors might represent the urgency of doing something, such as giving the patient 300 ml of a substance. The size of the numbers on the screen as well as the color of text are crucial and can be used for different purposes such as reminding employees about duties to perform. A third example is that with both a new patient record on paper and a computerized one, it is possible to control the use of a resource such as blood during the surgery. In Austria the use of blood decreased after the clinic implemented a computerized patient record.

An anesthetist uses his or her hands a lot during surgery. "All the senses go through my hands". (Excerpt from interview with one of the anesthetists in Austria) Getting away from manual documentation frees the hands, says one of the anesthetists in Austria. Computerized documentation also makes it possible for the anesthetist to keep his or her eyes on the patient which is an important part of anesthesia work. "I get information from the patient by looking at her". (Excerpt from interview at the Swedish hospital) This confirms Edsall, et al 1993, who also found that an information system free eyes and hands and creates an opportunity for improvement and for more accurate information about the patient.

In addition to this, implementing a computerized patient record improves the learning processes in the organization and strengthens the identity of the professional. "Now we can study and discuss what happened if there was a problem during surgery, even if the person who did it is not here", says one anesthetist in Austria. "Computerized documentation makes it possible to go back and study the course of an anesthesia minute by minute". This is consistent with Essin DJ, et al, 1998, who wrote that computerized patient records provided immediately available data for quality assurance activities. In Austria the employees also look at the computerized patient record as a tool to "*explore data*" and investigate trends. In this way an expansion of organizational capabilities is possible. A computerized patient record can, for example, be used when searching for trends in a patient's medical history. Also, to maintain your identity as an anesthetist means continuing to build on earlier knowledge. Anesthesia could stagnate as a specialist domain without scientific improvements. An organization learns by constructing, testing and restructuring its theories of action. Research, education and learning are made possible with a computerized information system.

There are also ergonomic consequences of implementing a computerized patient record. The employees have to change how they move around the patient in the bed in the room. This confirms Yamaguchi, et al, 2000, that found that ergonomic problems remain to be solved before there is a wider acceptance of the computerized patient record in clinical practice. This research also shows that implementing a computerized patient record improves security with things such as pin-codes and electronic trails. This is the case in Austria and will be the case at the American hospital. Another service that is included is information about what personal items the patient brings with him or her to surgery such as eye-glasses or hearing aids. Now they will not get lost when the patient leaves surgery and the OR.

The idea behind a technology like a computerized patient record is in general improved performance. One develops a knowledge management system to enhance the quality of a work process in a specific setting. But at the same time the employees are forced into an additional structuring and re-structuring of how they exercise their knowledge. Therefore a computerized patient record can be described as the subordination of cognitive abilities to "the best possible performance". A second idea behind a technological move like computerizing a patient record is efficiency. By efficiency is meant that documenting using the new information system is supposed to save energy compared to the way of working it replaced. A computerized patient record is also a subordination of "bodily freedom" to the "best possible performance". By bodily freedom is meant the possibility to move around more freely in the limited space of an OR. A patient record on paper (and a computerized one) not only improves the documenting of data, but also enforces integrating mechanisms between different units of the clinic, including services outside the clinic such as ambulance care. Integration mechanisms as on the level of face-to-face interaction take place. This means reciprocity between actors in contexts of co-presence, in this case in the OR or at the clinic.

But integration also refers to connections with those who are physically absent in time or space. This is the same as reciprocity between actors of collectivities across extended time-space. Here computerized information systems facilitate extended network integration, such as between the clinic and units outside the hospital. Both the document on paper and/or the knowledge management system structures and restructures the context. All physicians are individualists and care in general only for their own part of the care process. A different design and transformed use of the patient record hopefully makes the anesthetist realize how his or her work fits into the whole care-process of the patient.

Discussion

The primary purpose of documenting in anesthesia is to show how the patient responds to anesthetics and surgical manipulations. And the original idea behind the anesthesia patient record is to be a representation of the course of an anesthesia during surgery, to show the anesthesia curve. But today the idea behind what a patient record is and may be, is transformed every time it is upgraded. The contribution of this paper is to show how its content is expanding in response to new legislation about what should be included in a patient record and to new ideas in society about how to perform health care, improve work processes and satisfy demands on quality assessments of care processes.

The findings indicate that the implications of constructing a new patient record on paper and then computerize it are both cognitive and social, both inside and outside the individual. In writing this is meant that not only the anesthetist, and his or her way of taking in information and exercising his or her knowledge, is influenced by a computerized patient record, but also the organizational setting surrounding the specialist, including the resources such as time and space used. This research shows how a movement takes place both when a patient record on paper is re-constructed and when it is computerized. The movement becomes visible when the research questions how and why are answered. Then this paper suggests that a document like the patient record can be used to monitor a health care setting by deciding how much coordination and how much enhancement that is desired. This may be a new and innovative way to use the patient record in process improvement in health care.

This paper theorized that what interpretative scheme the employees have for what a patient record is influences how they use it. In Austria and US they used the word knowledge management system for the patient record and they have also included many new interesting features into the system. A lot of status and feelings are attached to the patient record but if you stop calling it a patient record maybe it becomes easier to transform into a tool that might be useful in many more and new ways than before. Another contribution in this paper is to create an awareness of what is possible when thinking differently about the tools we use in our daily work-life.

This paper suggests that conceptualizing a computerized patient record as a knowledge management system may facilitate a new and different view of how to use it, as a tool to enhance and coordinate care processes in health care networks. It is a tool that might influence what takes place at many different levels in both organization and society. Conceptualizing the computerized patient record as a knowledge management system initiates a new thinking and an awareness of the possibilities embedded in a KMS. Implementing a KMS might support a horizontal influence, coordination, and a vertical one, enhancement of medical services in a health care setting. This is perceived by this paper as a collected movement of a network connected to both improved performance and efficiency. How far the influence of these transformations extends depends on the level of ambition among the

employees and the strategy for the knowledge management system constructed, designed, implemented and used.

References

- Abbott, A. (1988) *Systems of Professions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Alavi, M. (1999) "Knowledge Management and Knowledge Management Systems". *Journal of AIS*, vol.1, no.1.
- Alavi, M. and Leidner, D. E. (1999) "Knowledge Management Systems: Issues, challenges and benefits". *Communication of the Association for Information systems*, 1:1-28.
- Atkinson, P. (1992) "The ethnography of a medical setting: reading, writing and rhetoric". *Qualitative Health Research*, 2, 4, 451-474.
- Bartunek J. (1984) Changing Interpretative Schemes and Organizational Restructuring. The Example of a Religious Order. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 29 (3), 355
- Beckerman, C. (2006) *The Clinical Eye. Constructing and computerizing an anesthesia patient record*. EFI, Stockholm
- Bell, D. (1974) *The Coming of the Post-Industrial Society: A Venture in Social Forecasting*. Heinemann. London.
- Berg, M. and Bowker, G. (1997) "The Multiple Bodies of the Medical record: Towards a Sociology of an Artefact". *The Sociological Quarterly*, Vol.38, No. 3, 1997: 513-537.
- Berg, M. (1998) "Medical Work and the Computer-Based Patient Record:A Sociological Perspective". *Methods of Information in Medicine*, No.37, 1998: 294-301.
- Berg, M. and Timmermans, S. (2000) Orders and their Others: On the constitution of Universalities in Medical Work. *Configurations*, 8, 31-61.
- Berger, P. and Luckmann, T. (1966) *The Social Construction of Reality*", New York, Doubleday.
- Bijker, W.E., Hughes, T.P., Pinch, T. (1987/2001) *The Social Construction of Technological Systems*. The MIT Press.
- Blackler, F. (1993) "Knowledge and the theory of Organizations: Organizations as activity systems and the reframing of management". *Journal of Management Studies*, 30,6:864-884.
- Blackler, F. (1995) "Knowledge, knowledge work and organizations: An overview and interpretation". *Organization Studies*, 16,6:1021-1046.
- Blumer, H. (1969/1998) *Symbolic Interactionism*. University of California Press.
- Boaden, R and Locket, G. (1991) "Information technology, information systems, information management:definition and development". *European Journal of Information Systems* 1 (1) :23-32.
- Boland, R.J.Jr and Tenkasi, R.V. (1995) "Perspective making and perspective taking in communities of knowing". *Organization Science*, vol. 6, no. 4:pp. 350-372.
- Brown, J.S. and Duguid, P. (1991) "Organizational learning and communities-of-practice: Towards a unified view of working, learning and innovation". *Organization Science*, vol. 2, pp.40-57.
- Böhme, G. and Stehr, N. (1986) *The knowledge Society. The Growing Impact of Scientific Knowledge on Social Relations*. D. Reidel Publishing Company.
- California Health Care Foundation. (1999) *"The Future of the Internet in Health Care"*.
- Carr-Saunders, A.M. and Wilson, P.A. (1933) *The Professions*. Oxford at the Clarendon Press. Oxford University Press.
- Choo, C.W. (1995) "Information Management for the Intelligent Organization: Roles and Implications for the Information Professions". Paper presented at the 1995 digital libraries Conference. Singapore. <http://choo.fis.utoronto.ca/FIS/ResPub/DLC95.html>.

- Choo, C.W. (1998) *The Knowing Organization : How Organizations Use Information to Construct Meaning, Create Knowledge, and Make Decisions*. Oxford University Press. New York.
- David, P.A. and Foray, D. (2003) "Economic Fundamentals of the Knowledge Society" in *Policy Futures in Education-An e-Journal*. Jan.
- Davies, L. and Mitchell, G. (1994) The dual nature of the impact of IT on organizational transformations. In R.Baskervill, O. Ngwenyama, S. Smithson and J.I. DeGross (Eds), *Transforming organizations with information technology*. Amsterdam:North-Holland.243-262.
- Denzin, N. (1983) *Interpretive Interactionism. Beyond Method*. (Ed Morgan, G.) Sage Publishing.
- Dervin, B. (1992) "From the Mind's Eye of the "User": The Sense-Making Qualitative-Quantitative Methodology". In *Qualitative Research in Information Management*, eds J.D.Glazier and R.R. Powell. Englewood, CO: Libraries Unlimited.
- Devitt, J.H., Rapanos, T., Kurrek, M., Cohen, M.M., Shaw, M. (1999) Anesthetic Record: accuracy and completeness. *Can J. Anaesth.* (Feb); 46 (2):122-128.
- DeSanctis, G., and Poole, M.S. (1994) Capturing the Complexity in Advanced Technology Use: Adaptive Structuration Theory, *Organization Science*, 5(2), pp. 121-147.
- DeSanctis, G. and Fulk, J. (1999) *Shaping Organization Form: Communication, Connection, and Community*. Thousand Oaks:Sage.
- Drucker, P. (1993) *Post-Capitalist Society*. Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd part of Reed International Books.
- Edsall, D.W., Deshane, P., Giles, C., Dick, D., Sloan, B. and Farrow, J. (1993) "Computerized patient anesthesia records: less time and better quality than manual produced anesthesia records". *J Clin Anesth* July-Aug; 5(4): 275-83.
- Eisenhardt,K. (1989) "Building theories from case study research". *The Academy of Management Review*, Vol.14, No.4, pp. 532-550.
- Essin D.J., et al. "Development and assessment of a computer-based pre-anesthetic patient evaluation system for obstretical anesthesia. *J Clin Monit Comput* 1998 Feb;14 (2): 95-100.
- Falcon, D., Francois, P., Jacquot, C., Payen, J.F. (1999) "Evaluating the quality of the anesthetic records". *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim*, Mar;18 (3):360-7.
- Fiske, S.T. and Taylor, S.E. (1991) *Social Cognition*. Second Ed. McGraw-Hill International Ed.
- Friesdorf, W., Hecker, E., Schwilk, B., Hahnel, J. "Analysis of data management in anesthesia from an ergonomic viewpoint". *Anasth Intensivther Notfallmed* 1990 Apr;25(2):121-8.
- Fuller, S. (2002) *Knowledge Management Foundations*. Butterworth Heinemann.
- Garfinkel, H. (1972) "Studies of the Routine Grounds of Everyday Activities". *Studies in Social Interaction*. Sudnow.D. (Eds) Free Press, New York.
- Georgopoulos, B.S., Mann, F.C.(1962) *The Community General Hospital*. The Macmillan Company. New York.
- Giddens, A. (1991) *Modernity and Self-Identity*. Polity Press, Cambridge.
- Giddens, A. (1984) *The Constitution of Society*. Cambridge, UK:Polity Press.
- Giddens, A. (1979) *Central problems in social theory: Action, structure and contradiction in social analysis*. London:Macmillan.
- Goffman, E. (1959) *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life*. Doubleday Publishing, Garden City, NY.
- Greenes, R. A., Tarabar, D. B., Krauss, M., Anderson, G., Wolnik, W.J., Cope, L., Slosser, E. and Hersh, W. (1989) " Knowledge management as a decision support method: a diagnostic workup strategy application". *Comput Biomed res* Apr; 22(2):113-35.
- Gregory, L. R. (1998) *Eye and Brain. The Psychology of Seeing*. Oxford University Press.

Haux, R. "Health care in the information society: what should be the role of medical informatics?" *Methods Inf Med* 2002;41 (1):31-5.

Heath, C. (1986) "*Body Movement and speech in Medical Interaction*", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Hoffman, D. (1998) *Visual Intelligence. How we create what we see.* W.W.Norton and Company. New York. London.

Junger A., et al. (2002) "An Anesthesia Information Management System as a tool for controlling resource management of operating rooms". *Methods inf Med* 2002; 21(1):81-5.

Kay, S. and Purves, I. (1998) "The electronic medical record and the "story stuff": a narrativistic model". *Narrative Based Medicine*, p. 185-201. BMJ Books.

Klemola, U-M and Norros, L. (1997) "Analysis of the clinical behaviour of anaesthetists: recognition of uncertainty as a basis for practice". *Medical Education*, 31, 449-456.

Kling, R. and Scacchi, W. (1982) "The web of computing: Computer technology as social organization". *Advances in Computers*. 21.1-90.

Kling, R. (1987) "Defining the boundaries of computing across complex organizations". In R.Boland and R.Hirschheim (Eds) *Critical issues in information systems*. Chichester: Wiley.307-362.

Kling, R. and Iacono, S. (1989) "The institutional character of computerized information systems". *Office: Technology and People*.5.7-28.

Lane, R, E. (1966) "The Decline of Politics and Ideology in a Knowledgeable Society". *American Sociological Review*, Vol 31, issue 5 (Oct.), 649-662.

Larson, M. S. (1977) *The Rise of Professionalism: A Sociological Analysis*. University of California Press, Berkely.

Mead, G.H. (1934/1967) *Mind, Self, and Society*. The University of Chicago Press.

Merry A.F., Webster CS, Mathew D.J. "A new, safety-oriented, integrated drug administration and automated anesthesia record system". *Anesth Analg* 2001 Aug; 93 (2): 385-90, 3rd contents page

Nonaka, I. (1994) "A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation". *Organization Science*, 5,1:14-37.

Nonaka, I., and Takeuchi, H. (1995) *The Knowledge Creating Company: How Japanese Companies Create the Dynamics of Innovation*. Oxford University Press, New York.

Norman, D. (1993) *Things that make us smart*. Perseus Books, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

OECD: *The Knowledge Based Economy*.1996.

OECD: Paris *Employment and growth in the Knowledge-Based Economy*. 1996.

OECD: Paris *The Knowledge-Based Economy: A Set of Facts and Figures*. 1999.

Orlikowski, W. J. and Robey, D. (1991). Information technology and the structuring of organizations. *Information Systems Research*. 2(2). 143-169.

Orlikowski, W.J. (1992) "The duality of technology: Rethinking the concept of technology in organizations". *Organization Science*. 3(3). 398-427.

Orlikowski, W. J. (1996) "Improvising Organizational Transformation Over Time: A Situated Change Perspective". *Information Systems Research*.

Patel, V.L, Kushniruk, A.W., Yang S., Yale, J.F. "Impact of a computer-based patient record system on data collectio, knowledge organization, and reasoning." *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2000 Nov-Dec;7(6):569-85.

Polanyi, M. (1966) *The Tacit Dimension*. London, UK:Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Polanyi, M. (1969) *Personal knowledge*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Poole, M.S., and DeSanctis, G. (2002) Structuration Theory in Information Systems Research: Methods and Controversies. Prepared for *The Handbook for Information Systems Research*. Eds Michael E. Whitman & Amy B. Woszczynski.

- Press, I. (1997) "The Quality Movement in US Health Care: Implications for Anthropology". *Human Organization*, Vol.56, No.1.
- Ranson, S. Hinings, B. & Greenwood, R. (1980) The Structures of Organizational Structuring. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 25:1-17
- Ricoeur, P. (1974) *The Conflict of Interpretations*. Northwestern University Press. Evanston.
- Schacter, D.L. (1996) *Searching for Memory: The Brain, The Mind and The Past*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Sheng, Liu, O., Motiwalla, L., Nunamaker, J. and Vogel, D. (1989/90): "A Framework to Support Managerial Activities Using Office Information Systems". *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 6(3).
- Stehr, N. (1994) *The Knowledge Society*. Sage Publications.
- Stein, H. (1994) *Listening Deeply. An Approach to Understanding and Consulting in Organizational Culture*. Westview Press.
- Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1998) *Basics of Qualitative Research. Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory*. Sage Publications.
- Stehr
- Suchman, L. (1987) *Plans and Situated Action*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Sørensen, C., and Snis, U. (2001) "Innovation through Knowledge Codification". Special issue on Knowledge Management of *Journal of Information Technology*, 16 (2):83-97.
- Taylor, R. (1991) "Information Use Environments". In *Progress in Communication Science*, ed B.Dervin and M.J. Voigt. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Tsoukas, H. and Vladimirou, T. (2001) "What is organizational knowledge". *Journal of Management Studies*. 38:7 , 973-993. November.
- Von Krogh, G., Ichijo, K. and Nonaka, I. (2000) *Enabling Knowledge Creation. How to Unlock the Mystery of Tacit Knowledge and Release the Power of Innovation*. Oxford University Press.
- Walsham, G. (1995) "Interpretative Case studies in IS Research: Nature and Method", *European Journal of information Systems*, vol.4, no.2, pp.74-81.
- Wang, X., Gardner, R.M. and Seager, P.R." Integrating computerized anesthesia charting into a hospital information system". *Int J Clin Monit Comput* 1995 May; 12(2):61-70.
- Wiig, K. (1993) *Knowledge Management Foundations-Thinking about Thinking-How People and Organizations create, represent and Use Knowledge*. Arlington, TX: Schema Press.
- Wikström, S., and Normann, R. (1994) *Knowledge and Value: A New Perspective on Corporate Transformation*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Wilson, T.D. (1997) "Information Behaviour: An Interdisciplinary Perspective". *Information Processing & Management* 33 (4):551-572.
- Woolgar, S. (1987) "Reconstructing Man and Machine: A Note on Sociological Critiques of Cognitivism", in *The Social Construction of Technological Systems*. (Eds Bijker, W.E., Hughes, T., P., Pinch, T.) The MIT Press.
- Yamaguchi, M., Nakata, J., Akaike, T. and Shima, Y. "An automated electronic anesthesia record using a hospital LAN." *Masui* 2000 May; 49:559-65.